PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



(22) International Filing Date: 20 December 1991 (20.12.91) (30) Priority data: 653,616 11 February 1991 (11.02.91) (71) Applicant: MINNESOTA MINING AND MANUFACTURING COMPANY [US/US]; 3M Center, P.O. Box 3427, Saint Paul. MN 55133-3427 (US). (72) Inventor: CULLER, Scott, R.; P.O. Box 33427, Saint Paul, MN 55133-3427 (US). (74) Agents: REEDICH, Douglas, E. et al.; P.O. Box 33427, Saint Paul, MN 55133-3427 (US).	States: AT (Europ A, CH (European (European patent) in patent), GB (European	20 August 1992 (20.08.92 pean patent), BE (European patent), DE (European patent), FR ropean patent), GR (European patent), JP, LU (European patent), NL (European patent)
(22) International Filing Date: 20 December 1991 (20.12.91) (30) Priority data: 653,616 11 February 1991 (11.02.91) US (71) Applicant: MINNESOTA MINING AND MANUFACTURING COMPANY [US/US]; 3M Center, P.O. Box 3427, Saint Paul. MN 55133-3427 (US). (72) Inventor: CULLER, Scott, R.; P.O. Box 33427, Saint Paul, MN 55133-3427 (US). (74) Agents: REEDICH, Douglas, E. et al.; P.O. Box 33427, Saint Paul, MN 55133-3427 (US).	A, CH (European (European patent) patent), GB (European IT (European patent).	patent), DE (European pa , ES (European patent), FI ropean patent), GR (European patent), JP, LU (European ent), NL (European patent)
TURING COMPANY [US/US]; 3M Center, P.O. Box 3427, Saint Paul. MN 55133-3427 (US). (72) Inventor: CULLER, Scott, R.; P.O. Box 33427, Saint Paul, MN 55133-3427 (US). (74) Agents: REEDICH, Douglas, E. et al.; P.O. Box 33427, Saint Paul, MN 55133-3427 (US).	national search repo	ort.
(74) Agents: REEDICH, Douglas, E. et al.; P.O. Box 33427, Saint Paul, MN 55133-3427 (US). (54) Title: METHOD FOR IMPROVING ADHESION TO METAL		
(54) Title: METHOD FOR IMPROVING ADHESION TO METAL		
(54) Title: METHOD FOR IMPROVING ADHESION TO METAL (57) Abstract		
(57) Abstract		
A method of applying a layer of exogenous inorganic oxide to a metal article coupling agent for a temperature and for a time sufficient to convert the coating to method of adhering a metal article to an adhesive and/or a composite and a method	a layer of exogeno	us inorganic oxide. Also a
•		
·		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT:	Austria •	Fi	Finland	ML.	Mali
AU	Australia	FR	France	MN	Mongolia
	Barbados	GA	Ciabon	MR	Mauritania
RB		GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	NL.	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	_		NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	GR	Orcece	PL	Poland
BJ	Beniu .	HU	Hungary		Romania
BR	Brazil	1E	Ireland	RO .	
CA	(anada	IT	italy	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	JP.	Japan	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KP	Democratic People's Republic	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland		of Korea	SN	Senegal
Ci	Côte d'Ivoire	KR	Republic of Korea	SU	Soviet Union
		LI	Liechtenstein	TD	Chad
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	TG	Togo
C?	Czechoslovakia			US	United States of America
DE	Gernuny	LU	Luxembourg		
OK	Denmark	MC	Monaco		
ES	Spain	MG	Madagascar		

METHOD FOR IMPROVING ADHESION TO METAL

Background of the Invention

5

10

20

25

30

35

40

Field of the Invention

This invention relates to articles with a layer of exogenous inorganic oxide thereon. In another aspect, this invention relates to methods of applying a layer of exogenous inorganic oxide to the surface of an article. In yet another aspect, this invention relates to methods for adhering a metal article to a substrate by way of an intermediate adhesive.

15 <u>Description of the Related Art</u>.

Methods of enhancing the bonding of polymeric substances to substrates via silane coupling agents are disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 4,364,731 (Norling et al.). These methods involve depositing an oxide layer such as alumina or silica onto a substrate, applying a layer of silane coupling agent to the oxide layer, then coating or contacting the layer of silane coupling agent with a polymeric substance. Stainless steel is among the disclosed substrate materials, the preferred oxide coating is silica, and the preferred method for applying the silica coating is sputter deposition.

U.S. Pat. No. 4,681,538 (DeLuca et al.) discloses a method for applying a crystalline alumina orthodontic bracket to the teeth of a patient. The method involves coating the tooth-contacting surface of the bracket with a thin adherent coating of a siliceous material. Methods disclosed for applying the coating of siliceous material include cathode sputtering, plasma deposition, and electron beam deposition. A bracket so coated can be further coated with a silane coupling agent and adhered via an acrylic orthodontic cement to the tooth of a patient.

U.S. Pat. No. 4,230,773 (Bakos) discloses a method for reducing the porosity and surface roughness of a ceramic substrate. The method involves coating the surface of the substrate with organosilicon compounds, drying the

15

20

25

30

35

coated substrate, and then subjecting the coated substrate to elevated temperature so as to convert the organosilicon compounds to silicon oxides.

5 Summary of the Invention

This invention provides a method for applying a layer of exogenous inorganic oxide to the surface of a metal article, which method comprises the steps of:

- (a) coating at least a portion of the surface of the article with a coupling agent that is capable on heating of converting to exogenous inorganic oxide; and
- (b) heating the coated surface at a temperature and for a time sufficient to convert the coating to a layer of exogenous inorganic oxide.
- This invention further provides a method for adhering a metal article to an adhesive and/or a composite, which method comprises steps (a) and (b) set forth above and the further step of:
 - (c) adhering the metal article at said layer of exogenous inorganic oxide to an adhesive and/or a composite.

This invention also provides a method for adhering a metal article to a substrate by way of an intermediate layer of adhesive, which method comprises the steps of:

- (a) coating at least a portion of the surface of the metal article with a coupling agent that is capable on heating of converting to exogenous inorganic oxide;
- (b) heating the coated surface at a temperature and for a time sufficient to convert the coating to a layer of exogenous inorganic oxide;
 - (c) adhering the metal article at said layer of exogenous inorganic oxide to an intermediate layer of adhesive; and
 - (d) adhering the substrate to the article by way of the intermediate layer.

Preferred embodiments of the invention also involve the preliminary step of roughening the surface of the article prior to the application of the coupling agent.

WO 92/13510

A layer of exogenous inorganic oxide applied to a metal article according to the method set forth above affords an article that exhibits strong adhesion to conventional adhesives and dental composites.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

Detailed Description of the Invention

As used herein, the term "silica" designates material consisting essentially of silicon oxides, and the terms "silane" and "organosilicon compound" are synonymous. Further, as used herein the phrase "exogenous inorganic oxide" designates an oxide that is chemically unrelated to the metal or metals of the article, and is not produced by oxidizing the surface of the article with oxygen.

Articles comprising conventional metals and metal alloys are suitable for use in this invention. For example, any type of stainless steel, e.g., martensitic, ferritic, or austenitic stainless steel, is suitable, as are other conventional metals such as gold, palladium, platinum, chromium, nickel, and silver, mixtures thereof, and alloys containing such metals.

Any article comprising a surface of such a metal can be used in this invention. Articles that are intended to be adhered to an adhesive and/or a composite can be used. For example, a glass-lined stainless steel reactor, having a crack in the glass lining such that the underlying stainless steel surface is exposed, can be repaired using the methods of the invention. The stainless steel surface can be prepared using the method for applying a layer of exogenous inorganic oxide (described in detail below). A curable composite can then be applied to the prepared surface and cured to afford a repaired reactor having no exposed stainless steel surface.

Articles that are intended to be adhered to a substrate by way of an intermediate adhesive can also be used in this invention. For example a metal article can be adhered to a curable composite generally as described above but using an intermediate adhesive layer between the prepared surface and the composite. Other articles that can be used include those that can be adhered to dental substrates such as dentin or enamel in dental (e.g.,

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

orthodontic, prosthetic, endodontic, and aesthetic)
applications. Suitable dental articles include orthodontic
articles such as brackets and arch wires, endodontic
articles such as posts and retention pins, and fixed
prostheses such as dental crowns, bridges, and the like,
and splints for avulsed or mobile teeth.

The portion of the surface of a metal article that underlies the layer of exogenous inorganic oxide is preferably roughened. Sandblasting is the preferred method of roughening. Sandblasting the surface of the article is carried out in order to roughen the surface thereby producing more surface area for subsequent adhesion of the layer of exogenous inorganic oxide. Further, sandblasting allows the subsequently-applied coupling agent to wet the surface of the article better. Sandblasting, e.g., with 220 grit aluminum oxide with a PONYTM sandblaster for at least about 30 seconds is a preferred sandblasting procedure. Whether sandblasted or not, a metal article can optionally be etched with strong etchant (e.g., nitric, sulfuric, hydrofluoric, or hydrochloric acid) and washed with purified water and/or an organic solvent such as acetone in order to assure a clean surface.

In the case of an article comprising an oxidizable metal a further optional, preferred treatment involves heating the surface of the clean, preferably sandblasted article in an oxidizing atmosphere at a temperature and for a time sufficient to form an oxide layer on the surface of the article. The particular temperature and time preferred for this step is dependent on the nature of the metal, but generally a temperature of about 200°C to about 1200°C, preferably at about 350°C to about 1100°C for a period of about 1 minute to about 60 minutes is suitable. Such heating can be carried out in a conventional furnace in the presence of air.

The layer of exogenous inorganic oxide can be applied by coating the article with a coupling agent or a mixture of coupling agents and pyrolyzing to convert the coupling agent to a layer of exogenous inorganic oxide. The particular structure of the coupling agent is not unduly critical to the method of the invention, as the

organic functionality is ultimately removed in the pyrolysis step described in detail below. Suitable coupling agents include conventional titanate coupling agents, zirconate coupling agents, and silane coupling agents that are capable of affording titanium, zirconium, or silicon oxides upon pyrolysis. Organosilicon compounds (i.e., silane coupling agents) are preferred. Suitable organosilicon compounds include "A-151" vinyltriethoxysilane, "A-172" vinyltri-

- 10 (2-methoxyethoxy)silane, "A-174" gammamethacryloxypropyltrimethoxysilane, "A-186"
 3,4-epoxycyclohexylmethyltrimethoxysilane, "A-187" gammaglycidoxypropyltrimethoxysilane, and "A-189" gammamercaptopropyltrimethoxysilane (all commercially available
- from Union Carbide Corp.); "Z-6030" gammamethacryloxypropyltrimethoxysilane, "Z-6040" gammaglycidoxypropyltrimethoxysilane, "XZ-8-0951" gammamercatopropyltrimethoxysilane (all commercially available
 from Dow Corning Corp.); "A0564" allyltriethoxysilane,
- "D4050" diallyldichlorosilane, "D6205" divinyldiethoxysilane, "G6720" glycidoxypropyltriethoxysilane, "M8542"
 methacryloxypropyldimethylchlorosilane, and "S1588"
 m,p-styrylethyltrimethoxysilane (all commercially available
 from Petrarch Systems, Inc.); gamma-aminopropyltrimethoxy-
- silane, allyltrimethoxysilane, dimethyldiethoxysilane, dihydroxydiphenylsilane, triethoxysilane, trimethoxysilane, triethoxysilanol, 3-(2-aminoethylamino)propyltrimethoxysilane, methyltrimethoxysilane, vinyltriacetoxysilane, methyltriethoxysilane, tetraethyl orthosilicate,
- tetramethyl orthosilicate, ethyltriethoxysilane, amyltriethoxysilane, ethyltrichlorosilane, amyltrichlorosilane, amyltrichlorosilane, phenyltrichlorosilane, phenyltriethoxysilane, methyltrichlorosilane, methyldichlorosilane, dimethyldichlorosilane, and similar
- compounds, and mixtures thereof. If the organosilicon compound contains hydrolyzable functional groups such as alkoxy, acyloxy, or halogen, these functional groups can be hydrolyzed to form silanol groups if desired prior to the application of the compound to the surface of the article.

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

The coupling agent can be applied neat, but it is preferably applied from a solution thereof in a volatile organic solvent. Such a solution preferably contains from about 1% by weight to about 80%, more preferably from about 1% to about 10%, by weight of a coupling agent based on the total weight of the solution, the remainder consisting essentially of a solvent or a mixture of solvents. Examples of generally suitable solvents include alcohols such as methanol, ethanol, and propanol, ketones such as acetone and methyl ethyl ketone, hydrocarbons such as hexane, cyclohexane, toluene, and the like, ethers such as diethyl ether and tetrohydrofuran, and mixtures thereof. In addition, water can be present if desired, e.g., to hydrolyze a compound with hydrolyzable functional groups. An organic acid such as acetic acid can also be present if desired, e.g., to stabilize a solution containing a silanol.

Conventional silanol priming solutions such as those disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 4,673,354 (Culler), the disclosure of which is incorporated hereby by reference, are suitable. A preferred commercially available solution for use in coating an article is SCOTCHPRIMETM ceramic primer (3M).

The coupling agent can be applied in any suitable manner, such as spraying, dip coating, or brush coating. If too little coupling agent is applied, the surface of the article might not be sufficiently coated, and optimal adhesion might not be achieved. On the other hand, if the coating of coupling agent is too thick the oxide resulting from the pyrolysis step will comprise oxide in the form of a powder. Again, optimal adhesion might not be achieved. The preferred amount of compound to be applied is therefore at least the amount that will coat substantially all of the surface intended to be adhered to the dental substrate, and less than the amount that will cause the formation of oxide in the form of a powder upon pyrolysis. More particularly, when the compound is applied from a solution, preferably about 2 to about 12 µL of a solution containing from about 1% by weight of coupling agent based on the total weight of the solution is applied per cm2 of surface. Alternatively,

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

lesser amounts of a more concentrated solution of coupling agent (e.g., in the range up to about 10% by weight) can be used. Once the coupling agent is applied, the solvent is removed by conventional means, e.g., air drying or mild heating in a drying oven (e.g., heating at 50°C-100°C).

The suitable range of temperature and time for the pyrolysis step depends only to a slight degree on the nature of the coupling agent. In general a temperature of between about 200°C and 1200°C for a period of about 1 minute to several hours (e.g., about 4 to about 5 hours) is suitable, the time and temperature required being inversely related to one another. Higher temperatures can be used with the caveat that the article must of course be stable to the temperature used. Also, excessively high temperatures tend to cause discoloration of the coated The preferred range of temperature is from about 200°C to about 1200°C. The pyrolysis step can be carried out in a conventional oven such as a muffle oven or porcelain oven, either in the presence of air or in another oxidizing atmosphere. It is preferred that the resulting oxide layer be of sufficient thickness to provide improved adhesion of the article to a dental adhesive or composite relative to the adhesion of a like article absent the oxide layer.

A metal article prepared as described above can be adhered to an adhesive and/or a composite. An article can also be adhered to a substrate using an adhesive as an intermediate layer. It is preferred to apply a coupling agent such as a titanate, zirconate, or silane coupling agent to the layer of exogenous inorganic oxide on the surface of the article, and then apply a conventional adhesive and/or composite. Silane coupling agents are preferred. Silane coupling agents and methods for their use are well known to those skilled in the art and are disclosed for example in U.S. Pat. No. 4,673,354.

Representative adhesives include acrylate, methacrylate, urethane, and epoxy resins, such as those disclosed in U.S. Pat. Nos. 3,066,112, 3,539,533, 3,629,187, 3,709,866, 3,751,399, 3,766,132, 3,860,556, 4,002,669, 4,115,346, 4,259,117, 4,292,029, 4,306,190,

10

15

20

25

4,327,014, 4,379,695, 4,387,240, and 4,404,150. Mixtures and derivatives of such resins are also useful. Preferred resins include mixtures of diglycidylmethacrylate of Bisphenol A ("Bis-GMA") and triethyleneglycol dimethacrylate ("TEGDMA").

Composites that can be used include conventional composites containing an adhesive resin as described above and a filler such as silica, glass, and the like. Commercially available composites include dental restorative composites such as $P-50^{TM}$ and $P-30^{TM}$ dental restoratives (3M).

Using the methods of this invention, a metal article adheres strongly to such adhesives and composites. Therefore, this invention reduces problems caused by failure of the adhesive bond between a metal article and an adhesive or a composite. Particular problems of this sort that can be addressed by this invention include loosening and dislodging of patch material adhered to the stainless steel substrate of a repaired glass-lined reactor. Also, the loss of permanent fixtures anchored by endodontic posts due to failure at the cement/post interface, and root fracture due to the presence of a non-bonded endodontic post can be addressed. Furthermore, the increased adhesion provided by this invention avoids the need to apply a wire mesh, undercut, or machine-textured surface to the metal article in order to achieve adequate adhesion.

The following examples are provided to illustrate the invention.

30 EXAMPLE 1

Samples of type 303 and type 17-4 stainless steel in the form of circular disks 2.54 cm in diameter were treated using the following steps:

1. Wet polishing one surface using a lapidary wheel first with 320 grit silicon carbide for 10 seconds and then with 600 grit silicon carbide for about 60 seconds;

40

- Sandblasting the polished surface for 30 seconds using 220 grit aluminum oxide;
- 3. Rinsing the sandblasted surface with acetone and acid etching with SCOTCHGELTM enamel etchant (a phosphoric acid based etchant, 3M) for 60 seconds, rinsing with deionized water and blow drying with compressed air;
- 4. Coating the surface with 30 μ L of a 1% by weight hydrolyzed A-174 silane solution (prepared using the procedure of EXAMPLE 3 of U.S. Pat. No. 4,673,354) and allowing to dry at room temperature; and
- 5. Heating in a THERMOLYNETM 10500 muffle oven (Sybron Corporation) at 350°C for about 5 minutes.

The above treated samples were prepared for adhesion testing by bonding dimethacrylate-based composites to the prepared surfaces using the following procedure:

- A. Coating the prepared surface with 20 μL of the silane solution of Step 4 above and drying in air at room temperature;
- B. Brushing on about 10 mg of SILUXTM enamel bond adhesive (a methacrylate based dental adhesive, 3M) over the entire prepared surface and curing with a VISILUXTM 2 curing light (3M) for 20 seconds;
- C. Applying approximately a 0.3 cm high cylindrical button of TRANSBONDTM adhesive (a methacrylate based dental adhesive, 3M Unitek) using a TEFLONTM polytetrafluoroethylene mold having a cross sectional area of 0.125 cm². The applied adhesive was cured using a VISILUX 2 curing light for 30 seconds; and
 - D. Allowing the metal disk and mold to stand at room temperature for about 5 minutes, then storing them in distilled water at 37°C for 24 hours.

10

20

25

The mold was then carefully removed from the button, leaving the molded button attached to the metal disk. Adhesive strength was evaluated by mounting the metal disk in a holder clamped in the jaws of an INSTRONTM tensile testing apparatus with the bondline oriented parallel to the direction of pull. A loop of 0.44 mm diameter orthodontic wire was placed around the button adjacent to the treated metal surface. The ends of the orthodontic wire were clamped in the pulling jaw of the INSTRON apparatus, thereby placing the bond in shear stress. The bond was stressed until failure, using a crosshead speed of 2 mm/minute. The adhesion values were calculated using the following formula:

Adhesion in $Kg/cm^2 = Shear Force$ (in Kg)

Area of the Mold (in cm^2)

The data in TABLE I show the effect of omitting steps from the above treatment procedure. The shear adhesion values for six samples were averaged to provide the value presented in TABLE I, except for Run 4, where the shear adhesion values of twelve samples were averaged.

TABLE I

Adhesion to Stainless Steel 303 and 17-4

Shear Adhesion

	Rur	No. Surface Preparation	(Kg/	>m²)
			303	17-4
	1	Non-sandblasted, Steps 2, 4 & 5 omitted	152	171
30	2	Non-sandblasted, Step 2 omitted	178	210
	3	Sandblasted, Step 4 omitted	185	184
	4	Sandblasted, Steps 4 & 5 omitted	216	220
	5	Sandblasted, no Steps omitted	357	327

The data show that pyrolysing the organosilicon compound to afford a layer of silica increases the shear adhesion on both sandblasted and non-sandblasted samples. The increase, however, is more pronounced in a procedure involving sandblasting.

WO 92/13510 PCT/US91/09725

-11-

EXAMPLE 2

Various porcelain-fusing metal surfaces were prepared using the general procedure of EXAMPLE 1 with the following exceptions: in Step 1, the metal was dry polished; in Step 2, the surface was sandblasted for 1 minute; in Step 3, the samples were rinsed with distilled water only; an additional step (Step 3a) was added between Step 3 and Step 4, which consisted of heating the samples in a Ney STAR FIRETM high performance programmable porcelain furnace (#949-14-002, J. M. Ney Company, Bloomfield, CT) at a particular furnace temperature for the respective metal substrate as follows: $REXILLIUM^{TM}$ III (Jeneric Industries, Inc., Wallingford, CT) and stainless steel 316 (3M Unitek) were heated at 1051°C, RX NATURELLETM (Jeneric Industries) was heated at 537°C and RX SP CG^{TM} (Jeneric Industries) was heated at 1093°C; in Step 4, the organosilicon compound was applied by placing one drop of the A-174 solution on the surface of the metal disk with a plastic transfer pipet; and in Step 5, the samples were heated in the porcelain furnace described in Step 3a at 500°C for 10 minutes.

The treated samples were prepared for adhesion testing using the general procedure of EXAMPLE 1, except that in Step A, one drop of the A-174 solution of Step 4 was used, in Step B, the SILUX enamel bond was cured for 10 seconds, and in Step C, P-30TM restorative (3M) was used as the adhesive and was cured for 40 seconds.

In TABLE II are listed the shear adhesion values for each of the metal surfaces prepared as detailed above and the values obtained when the corresponding metals were treated using the commercially available SILICOATERTM (Model \$5112113, Kulzer, Friedrichsdorf, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The shear adhesion values of 10 samples were averaged to give the values in TABLE II.

5

10

15

20

25

TABLE II
Adhesion to Metal Surfaces

	Type of Metal Surface	Shear Adhesion (Kg/cm²)		
		Example 2	Silicoater	
5	REXILLIUM TM III *	365	240	
	Stainless Steel 316	350	290	
	RX NATURELLETM **	196	150	
	RX SP CGTM ***	80	30	

- 10 * Composition by weight: Be, 1.8%; Cr, 12-15%; Mo, 4-6%; Ni, 74-78%
 - ** Composition by weight: Gold, 2%; Palladium, 13%
 - *** Composition by weight: Gold, 75%; Palladium, 13%

The data in TABLE II show that higher shear adhesion values were obtained on various metal surfaces using the procedure detailed above than were obtained using the SILICOATER method.

20 EXAMPLE 3

Samples of REXILLIUM III were treated using the procedure of EXAMPLE 2 modified as set out in TABLE III below.

25

TABLE III

	Surface Preparation	Shear Adhesion (Kg/cm²)
	Non-sandblasted, Steps 2, 3a, 4 & 5	
30	·omitted	50
	Non-sandblasted, Step 2 omitted	200
	Sandblasted, Steps 3a, 4 and 5 omitted	200
	Sandblasted, no steps omitted	365

The data of TABLE III show that the combination of sandblasting, heating of the metal surface, and pyrolysis of a coating of organosilicon compound to afford a layer of silicon oxides yields optimal shear adhesion values.

10

15

20

25

35

EXAMPLE 4

Stainless steel orthodontic brackets (No. 064-008, American Orthodontics, Sheboygan, WI) with no undercuts or steel mesh, having an approximate bonding base surface area of 0.17 cm², were subjected to various treatments set forth below.

Treatment A consisted of rinsing the brackets with acetone, allowing to dry, coating with SCOTCHPRIMETM ceramic primer (a silanol priming solution, 3M), drying in air and heating in the porcelain furnace described in EXAMPLE 2 for four hours at 500°C.

Treatment B consisted of rinsing the brackets with acetone, drying, sandblasting with a PONY™ Sandblaster (Buffalo Dental, Syosset, NY) containing silica sand at nominal size 60-80 microns, acid etching for 2 minutes with a 50:50 (by weight) solution of sulfuric acid:deionized water, rinsing with deionized water, blow drying, and heating in the porcelain furnace described in EXAMPLE 2 at 500°C for 10 minutes.

The Control consisted of rinsing the brackets with acetone, drying in air, acid etching for 2 minutes with a 50:50 (by weight) solution of sulfuric acid:deionized water, rinsing with deionized water, and blow drying.

Each prepared bracket was bonded to a bovine tooth using the following procedure:

- i. Cleaning the enamel surface of the bovine
 tooth for 10-20 seconds with aluminum oxide prophy paste
 [prepared by mixing approximately equal volumes of water
 and a fine powdered pumice (Moyco Industries, Inc.,
 Philadelphia, PA)], rinsing with deionized water, and blow
 drying;
 - ii. Acid etching the tooth with SCOTCHGEL enamel etchant for 60 seconds, rinsing with deionized water, and blow drying;

PCT/US91/09725

- iii. Coating the treated surface of the bracket with one drop of SCOTCHPRIME ceramic primer and drying in air;
- iv. Applying SILUX enamel bond adhesive to the prepared tooth of Step ii and blowing with air to thin the coating layer;
- v. Applying TRANSBOND light cure orthodontic adhesive to the base of the bracket of Step iv;
 - vi. Pressing the adhesive coated bracket onto the prepared bovine tooth and removing excess peripheral adhesive:

15

- vii. Curing with a VISILUX 2 curing light for 30 seconds with the tip of the light guide positioned directly above the bracket;
- viii. After about a 5 minute delay, placing the tooth with the bracket adhered thereto in deionized water at 37°C for about 16 hours; and
- ix. Removing the tooth with the bracket adhered
 thereto from the water and measuring the shear adhesion
 using the procedure of Step D of EXAMPLE 1, except that the
 tooth was attached to the lower jaw of the tensile testing
 machine with a fixture capable of holding the root of the
 tooth vertically rigid during the testing procedure.

30

35

TABLE IV shows the shear adhesion values of Treatment A, Treatment B and the Control. Treatment A was run using 10 samples and Treatment B and the Control were run using 5 samples. The values for each treatment were averaged to provide the shear adhesion values in TABLE IV.

TABLE IV Shear Adhesion to Brackets (Kg/cm²)

5 Treatment A Treatment B Control
70 59 8

The data in TABLE IV show that Treatment A, involving the pyrolysis of an organosilicon compound, provides a high level of adhesion relative to treatments not involving such pyrolysis.

EXAMPLE 5

- Endodontic posts (No. 5, 316L Stainless, 3M Unitek) were treated using the following steps:
- 5-1. Acid etching for 45 seconds with a 37% solution of phosphoric acid, rinsing with water and drying 20 in air;
 - 5-2. Coating with SCOTCHPRIME ceramic primer and drying in air; and
- 5-3. Heating in the muffle oven described in step 5 of EXAMPLE 1 for 10 minutes at 250°C or 500°C as indicated in TABLE V below.
- The above treated samples were prepared for adhesion testing using the following procedure:
 - 5-A. Coating the prepared surface with SCOTCHPRIME ceramic primer and drying in air;
- 5-B. Brushing onto the bottom 3 mm of the post a Bis-GMA/TEGDMA dual-cure luting cement comprising about 60% by weight of a quartz filler. The cement was incrementally applied to provide a spherical mass of approximately 4 mm diameter.

10

The posts were stored for 5 days in water at 37°C. The force in kg required to remove the post from the resin was measured using an INSTRON apparatus.

The data in TABLE V show the effect of heating the treated posts independently at 250°C and 500°C. The "Untreated" group consisted of posts treated according to Steps 5-B and 5-C only. The "Silane on Untreated Post" group consisted of posts treated according to the above procedure, except that Steps 5-1, 5-2 and 5-3 were omitted. The adhesion values for four to six samples were averaged for each group.

TABLE V

		Kemoval Force
15	•	(Kg)
	Untreated	6
	Silane on untreated post	g
	Silane treatment, 250°C heating	15
***	Silane treatment, 500°C heating	21

20

30

35

The data show that treating with an organosilicon compound and heating at either 250°C or 500°C provided increased adhesion values.

EXAMPLE 6

25

A design experiment was conducted on samples of type 303 stainless steel, each in the form of a circular disk 2.54 cm in diameter, using three concentrations of A-174 silane solution, three oven temperatures and three heating times. The procedure of EXAMPLE I was used with the following changes: Step 2 was omitted; for Step 4, 5 μ L of the hydrolyzed A-174 silane solution was used; for Step 5, various oven temperatures and times were used; and for Step A, two 5 μ L coatings of a 1% solution of A-174 silane were used on each specimen. Six specimens were run for each sample number and the shear adhesion values were averaged. TABLE VI sets out the conditions used as well as the respective adhesion values obtained.

TABLE VI

5	Sample No.	* A-174 TM	Oven Temp.	Heating Time(Min.)	Adhesion (Kg/cm²)
	1	0.5	300	5	57
	2	0.5	700	5	41
	3	0.5	300	20	26
	4	0.5	700	20	27
10	5	2.0	300	5	127
	6	2.0	700	5	32
	7	2.0	300	20	87
	8	2.0	700	20	41
	9	1.0	500 .	. 10	46
15	10	1.0	500	10	42
	11	1.0	500	10	38
	12	1.0	500	10	41

The data of TABLE VI were statistically analyzed using analysis of variance. Statistical analysis showed that the highest adhesion values were produced using the highest concentration of the A-174 silane solution, the lowest oven temperature and the shortest heating time.

25 EXAMPLE 7

Various organosilicon compounds were independently applied to the surface of REXILLIUM III using the procedure of EXAMPLE 2. TABLE VII sets out the compounds used and the respective adhesion values obtained.

TABLE VII

		Shear Adhesion
	Organosilicon Compound	(Kg/cm ²)
35	A-174 TM neat *	365
	SCOTCHPRIMETM (hydrolyzed A-174TM silane)	365
	Hydrolyzed A-1100 TM silane **	235

- * 3-methacryloxypropyltrimethoxysilane
- 40 ** 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane

The data in TABLE VII show that different organosilicon compounds can be used to achieve high shear adhesion values to REXILLIUM III.

5

EXAMPLE 8

Samples of type 303 and type 17-4 stainless steel, each in the form of a circular disk 2.54 cm in diameter, were treated with a silane solution. The procedure of EXAMPLE 1 was followed except that in Step 4 the volume of A-174 silane solution was varied from 5 to 120 μ L. TABLE VIII sets out the shear adhesion values for the average of six samples at the various volumes of silane solution applied.

15

30

10

TABLE VIII

	Volume (μL)	Stainless Steel 303 (Kg/cm²)	Stainless Steel 17-4 (Kg/cm²)
20	5	237	162
	10	316	263
	15	315	178
	20	327	235
	30	363	304
25	60	311	283
	120	265 ·	207

The data in TABLE VIII show that the maximum shear adhesion values are obtained when solution volumes of 10 to 60 μ L are used.

15

30

The claimed invention is:

- 1. A method for applying a layer of exogenous inorganic oxide to the surface of a metal article, which method comprises the steps of:
- (a) coating at least a portion of the surface of the metal article with a coupling agent that is capable on heating of forming exogenous inorganic oxide; and
- (b) heating the coated surface at a temperature and for a time sufficient to convert the coating to a layer of exogenous inorganic oxide.
 - 2. A method according to Claim 1, further comprising the preliminary step of roughening the surface.
 - 3. A method according to Claim 2, wherein the roughening step comprises sandblasting.
- A method according to Claim 1, further
 comprising the preliminary steps of
 - (i) roughening the surface; and
 - (ii) heating the surface at a temperature and for a time sufficient to form an oxide layer on said surface.
- 5. A method according to Claim 1, wherein the coupling agent is an organosilicon compound.
 - 6. A method for adhering a metal article to an adhesive and/or a composite, which method comprises the steps of:
 - (a) coating at least a portion of the surface of the article with a coupling agent that is capable on heating of forming exogenous inorganic oxide;
- (b) heating the coated surface at a temperature
 and for a time sufficient to convert the coating to a layer of exogenous inorganic oxide; and
 - (c) adhering the metal article at said layer of exogenous inorganic oxide to the adhesive and/or composite.

PCT/US91/09725

15

25

30

- 7. A method according to Claim 6, further comprising between steps (b) and (c) the step of applying a cupling agent to the layer of exogenous inorganic oxide.
- 8. A method according to Claim 6, further comprising the preliminary step of roughening the surface intended to be adhered to the adhesive and/or composite.
- 9. A method according to Claim 6, wherein the roughening step comprises sandblasting.
 - 10. A method according to Claim 6, further comprising the preliminary steps of
 - (i) roughening the surface intended to be adhered to the adhesive and/or composite; and
 - (ii) heating the surface intended to be adhered to the adhesive and/or composite at a temperature and for a time sufficient to form an oxide layer on said surface.
- 20 11. A method according to Claim 6, wherein the coupling agent is an organosilicon compound.
 - 12. A method according to Claim 6, wherein the adhesive and/or composite comprises a resin comprising a mixture of Bisphenol A diglycidyl-methacrylate and triethyleneglycol dimethacrylate.
 - 13. A method for adhering a metal article to a substrate by way of an intermediate layer of adhesive, which method comprises the steps of:
 - (a) coating at least a portion of the surface of the article with a coupling agent that is capable on heating of forming exogenous inorganic oxide;
 - (b) heating the coated surface at a temperature and for a time sufficient to convert the coating to a layer of exogenous inorganic oxide;
 - (c) adhering the article at said layer of exogenous inorganic oxide to an intermediate layer of adhesive composite; and

WO 92/13510 PCT/US91/09725

-21-

- (d) adhering the substrate to the metal article by way of the intermediate layer.
- 14. A method according to Claim 13, further

 5 comprising between steps (b) and (c) the step of applying a coupling agent to the layer of exogenous inorganic oxide.
 - 15. A method according to Claim 13, further comprising the preliminary step of roughening the surface intended to be adhered to the adhesive.
 - 16. A method according to Claim 13, wherein the roughening step comprises sandblasting.
- 15 17. A method according to Claim 13, further comprising the preliminary steps of

10

25

- (i) roughening the surface intended to be adhered to the adhesive; and
- (ii) heating the surface intended to be adhered to the adhesive at a temperature and for a time sufficient to form an oxide layer on said surface.
 - 18. A method according to Claim 13, wherein the coupling agent is an organosilicon compound.
 - 19. A method according to Claim 13, wherein the adhesive comprises a resin comprising a mixture of Bisphenol A diglycidyl-methacrylate and triethyleneglycol dimethacrylate.

International Application No .

X WO,A,8 803 405 (SCHUTZ-DENTAL GMBH) 19 May 1988 see page 2, line 15 - line 24 see page 5, line 2 X DE,C,3 642 290 (ETABLISSEMENT DENTAIRE IVOCLAR) 2 July 1987 see claims 1,2,4 X PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 13, no. 431 (C-640)(3779) 26 September 1989 & JP,A, 1 165 775 (MITSUBISHI ALUM CO LTD) 29 June 1989 see abstract "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "Fe earlier document but published on or after the International filing date "If document which may throw doubte on priority claim(c) or which is clast to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, cobbition or other means "P" documents which may throw doubte on priority claim(c) or which is clast to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, cobbition or other means "P" document means "P" document means "P" document which may throw doubte on priority claim(c) or which is clast to establish the published on or after the international filing date but later than the priority described claimed invention account to considered to involve as the claimed invention of consument security step when the document is combined with one or more other such document, such combination being otherwise step when the document is combined with one or more other such document, such combination being otherwise step when the document is combined with one or more other such document, such combination being otherwise step when the document sense of the same patent family IV. CEXITECATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search Report 2 7, 05, 92 International Searching Assistority Signature of Authorized Officer	1. CLASSII	TCATION OF SUBJ	ECT MATTER (If several classificati	on symbols apply, indicate all) ⁶	
Minimum Documentation Searched Classification System Classification System Classification Synabols Int. Cl. 5 A61K; A61L; C23C Documentation Searched other data Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Field's Searched III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT* Category* Classification of Document, 11 with Indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 12 X WO, A, 8 803 405 (SCHUTZ-DENTAL GMBH) 19 May 1988 1-6, 8, 9-11, 13, 15-18 see page 2, 1ine 15 - 1ine 24 see page 5, 1ine 2 X DE, C, 3 642 290 (ETABLISSEMENT DENTAIRE IVOCLAR) 2 July 1987 see claims 1, 2, 4 PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 13, no. 431 (C-640)(3779) 26 September 1989 & JP, A, 1 165 775 (MITSUBISHI ALUM CO LTD) 29 June 1989 see abstract """ focument defining the seweral state of the art which is not considered to be obstained relevance the claims of the considered to or after the international filing date with his class to establish the published on or after the international filing date with his class to establish the published on or after the international filing date with his class to establish the published on or after the international filing date with his class to establish the published on or after the international filing date with his class to establish the published on or after the international filing date with his class to establish the published on or after the international filing date with his class to establish the published on or after the international filing date with his class to establish the published on or after the international filing date with his class to establish the published on or after the international filing date with his class to establish the published on or after the international filing date with his class to establish the published on the international filing date with his class to establish the published on the international filing date with his class the without state of the same pattern family """ **Comment defining the activation of t	_			al Classification and IPC	
Classification System A61K; A61L; C23C Documentation Searched other than Milliamus Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are locitated in the Field's Searched* III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT* Category* Clastim of Document, **I** with Indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages **I** Relevant to Claim X WO, A, 8 803 405 (SCHUTZ-DENTAL GMBH) 19 May 1988 1-6, 8, 9-11, 13, 15-18 See page 2, line 15 - line 24 see page 5, line 2 X DE, C, 3 642 290 (ETABLISSEMENT DENTAIRE IVOCLAR) 2 July 1987 see claims 1, 2, 4 X PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 13, no. 431 (C-640)(3779) 26 September 1989 & J.P., A, 1 165 775 (MITSUBISHI ALUM CO LTD) 29 June 1989 see abstract **Special categories of cited documents: **10 **A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to the product account to the order packs account to the pack of the consideration of of the	II. FIELDS	SEARCHED			
III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT* Category* Chastion of Document, ¹¹ with fadication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ¹² X WO, A, 8 803 405 (SCHUTZ-DENTAL GMBH) 19 May 1988 1-6, 8, 9-11, 13, 15-18 See page 2, 1 ine 15 - 1 ine 24 see page 5, 1 ine 2 X DE, C, 3 642 290 (ETABLISSEMENT DENTAIRE IVOCLAR) 2 July 1987 see claims 1, 2, 4 X PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 13, no. 431 (C-640)(3779) 26 September 1989			Minimum Doc	rumentation Searched?	
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Document are lacitated in the Fleids Searched* III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT* Category* Citation of Document, "I with Indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages" Relevant to Claim	Classificat	on System		Classification Symbols	
III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT? Catagory. Citation of Document, 11 with Indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 12 X WO, A, 8 803 405 (SCHUTZ-DENTAL GMBH) 19 May 1988 1-6, 8, 9-11, 13, 15-18 See page 2, line 15 - line 24 See page 5, line 2 DE, C, 3 642 290 (ETABLISSEMENT DENTAIRE IVOCLAR) 2 July 1987 See claims 1, 2, 4 X PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 13, no. 431 (C-640)(3779) 26 September 1989 & JP, A, 1 165 775 (MITSUBISHI ALUM CO LTD) 29 June 1989 See abstract *Special categories of cited documents: 10 *A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance considered to be of particular relevance. *Special categories of cited documents: 10 *Special categories of cited	Int.Cl.	5	A61K; A61L;	C23C	
Category* Citation of Document, 11 with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 12 X WO,A, 8 803 405 (SCHUTZ-DENTAL GMBH) 19 May 1988 See page 2, line 15 - line 24 see page 5, line 2 X DE, C, 3 642 290 (ETABLISSEMENT DENTAIRE IVOCLAR) 2 July 1987 See claims 1,2,4 X PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 13, no. 431 (C-640)(3779) 26 September 1989 & JP,A, 1 165 775 (MITSUBISHI ALUM CO LTD) 29 June 1989 see abstract "To comment defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E estile document by published on or after the international filing date "the document which say throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O' document referring to an oral disclorure, use, exhibition or other means "P document published prior to the international filing date but fater than the priority date claimed (benefits) and the priority date claimed (benefits) The document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but citated to stabilish the spillostation date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O' document referring to an oral disclorure, use, exhibition or other means "P document published prior to the international filing date but fater than the priority date claimed (benefits) CEXTEFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 07 MAY 1992 Signature of Authorized Officer					
Category* Citation of Document, 11 with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 12 X WO,A,8 803 405 (SCHUTZ-DENTAL GMBH) 19 May 1988 1-6,8,9-11,13,15-18 See page 2, line 15 - line 24 see page 5, line 2 X DE,C,3 642 290 (ETABLISSEMENT DENTAIRE IVOCLAR) 2 July 1987 See claims 1,2,4 X PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN Vol. 13, no. 431 (C-640)(3779) 26 September 1989 & JP,A, 1 165 775 (MITSUBISHI ALUM CO LTD) 29 June 1989 see abstract *T decrease the finise the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance fining date fining date for which is clust to establish the publication state of another citation or other special reason (as specified) *Of occument referring to an oral disclorure, use, exhibition or other means The document referring to an oral disclorure, use, exhibition or other means The document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed international filing date but later than the priority date claimed international filing date but later than the priority date claimed stand or an oral disclorure, use, exhibition or other means The document referring to an oral disclorure, use, exhibition or other means The considered to lavely on a inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other proch decoment is combined with one or more other proch decoment is combined with one or more other such decoment is combined with one or more other proch decoment is combined with one or more other proch decoment is combined with one or more other proch decoment is combined with one or more other proch decoment is combined with one or more other proch decoment is combined with one or more other proch decoment is combined with one or more other proch decoment is combined with one or more other proch decoment is combined with one or more other proch of the comment member of the same patent family IV. CERTIFICATION Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 2 7, 05, 92					
WO, A, 8 803 405 (SCHUTZ-DENTAL GMBH) 19 May 1988 1-6, 8, 9-11, 13, 15-18					T
See page 2, line 15 - line 24 X DE,C,3 642 290 (ETABLISSEMENT DENTAIRE IVOCLAR) 2 July 1987 See claims 1,2,4 X PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN Vol. 13, no. 431 (C-640)(3779) 26 September 1989 & JP,A, 1 165 775 (MITSUBISHI ALUM CO LTD) 29 June 1989 See abstract **A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance **A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance. **To document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is clies to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) **O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) **O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) **O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) **O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) **O* document of particular relevance; the claimed townton cannot be considered to browle as inwentive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed townton cannot be considered to browle as inwentive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed townton cannot be considered to browle as inwentive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed townton cannot be considered to browle as inwentive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed townton cannot be considered to browle as inwentive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed townton cannot be considered to browle as inwentive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed townton cannot be considered to browle as inwentive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed townton cannot be considered to browle as inwentive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed townton cannot be considered to browle as inwent	Category °	Citation of Do	cument, 11 with Indication, where appr	opriate, of the relevant passages 12	Relevant to Claim No.13
See page 5, line 2 DE,C,3 642 290 (ETABLISSEMENT DENTAIRE IVOCLAR) 2 July 1987 See claims 1,2,4 X PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 13, no. 431 (C-640)(3779) 26 September 1989 & JP,A, 1 165 775 (MITSUBISHI ALUM CO LTD) 29 June 1989 See abstract "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance." "E earlier document but published on or after the international filing date or which is cleate octabilish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "B" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means." "B" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means." "B" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means." "B" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means." "B" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means." "B" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means." "Competition of the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed. "CERTIFICATION Date of the Accural Completion of the International Search O7 MAY 1992 Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 2 7, 05, 92 International Searching Asshority	x .				9-11,13,
2 July 1987 see claims 1,2,4 PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 13, no. 431 (C-640)(3779) 26 September 1989 & JP,A, 1 165 775 (MITSUBISHI ALUM CO LTD) 29 June 1989 see abstract "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "F" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "I" document which say throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is clied to establish the publication date of another citation or other seefal reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, u.s., exhibition or other seefal reason (as specified) "O" document published prior to the international filing state but later than the grindry date chained invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive stap ment of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive stap in the art. "A" document operaticular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive stap in the art. "A" document which say throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, u.s., exhibition or other special reason (as specified) "O" document published prior to the international filing state but later than the grindry date chained invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive stap invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive stap invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive stap invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive stap in the art. "A" document member of the same patent family Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 2 7, 05, 92 International Searching Authorized Officer		see page	2, line 15 - line 2 5, line 2	4	
PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 13, no. 431 (C-640)(3779) 26 September 1989 & JP, A, 1 165 775 (MITSUBISHI ALUM CO LTD) 29 June 1989 see abstract "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention filing date or earlier document which say throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other neass "F" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search O7 MAY 1992 1-5 *T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered to low or nore other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. *A" document member of the same patent family Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 2 7, 05, 92	X	DE,C,3 6 2 July 1	42 290 (ETABLISSEMEN 1987	T DENTAIRE IVOCLAR)	9,10,13,
vol. 13, no. 431 (C-640)(3779) 26 September 1989 & JP,A, 1 165 775 (MITSUBISHI ALUM CO LTD) 29 June 1989 see abstract *Special extegories of cited documents: 10 "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "I" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is clast to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date calmed "N" CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search O7 MAY 1992 Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 2 7, 05, 92 International Searching Authority Signature of Authorized Officer	.	see clai	ms 1,2,4		-
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search O7 MAY 1992 International Searching Authority or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered to invent	x	vol. 13, & JP,A,1 June 198	no. 431 (C-640)(377) 165 775 (MITSUBISH 19		1-5
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "I" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to entablish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "V" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "V" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "V" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "V" document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "2" document member of the same patent family IV. CERTIFICATION Date of Mailing of this International Search Report O7 MAY 1992 Signature of Authorized Officer			***		
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "I" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to entablish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "V" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "V" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "V" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "V" document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "2" document member of the same patent family IV. CERTIFICATION Date of Mailing of this International Search Report O7 MAY 1992 Signature of Authorized Officer	•				
filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "V" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "O" MAY 1992 LINEATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search O7 MAY 1992 International Searching Authority Cannot be considered nowel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "A" document member of the same patent family Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 27, 05, 92 International Searching Authority Signature of Authorized Officer	"A" docu	ment defining the gene idered to be of particul	eral state of the art which is not ar relevance	or priority date and not in conflict with the cited to understand the principle or theory invention	e application but underlying the
IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 07 MAY 1992 Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 27, 05, 92 International Searching Authority Signature of Authorized Officer	filing "I." does which citati "O" does othe "P" does	g date ment which may throw h is cited to establish ti ion or other special rea- ment reterring to an or r means ment published prior to	doubts on priority claim(s) or he publication date of another son (as specified) ral disclosure, use, exhibition or the international filing date but	cannot be considered novel or cannot be convolve an inventive step "Y" document of particular relevance; the claim cannot be considered to involve an inventive document is combined with one or more of ments, such combination being obvious to in the art.	onsidered to sed invention re step when the her such docu- a person skilled
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search O7 MAY 1992 Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 2 7. 05, 92 International Searching Authority Signature of Authorized Officer				encument member of the same patent fami	
07 MAY 1992 2 7. 05. 92 International Searching Authority Signature of Authorized Officer			Anreamational Second	Date of McIllon of this Februaries 15	h Resout
	Lesse DT (Be A	•			•
EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE NGUTEN THE NGHTEP IN.	nternational		N PATENT OFFICE	Signature of Authorized Officer NGUYEN THE NGHIEP N	· extres

ANNEX TO THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT ON INTERNATIONAL PATENT APPLICATION NO. US 9109725 56275

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information. 07/05/92

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date				Publication date	
WO-A-8803405	19-05-88	CH-A- EP-A,B	673575 0329696		-03-90 -08-89	
DE-C-3642290	02-07-87	AU-B- AU-A- CA-A- EP-A,B US-A-	601525 8208087 1275552 0270900 4933202	16- 30- 15-	-09-90 -06-88 -10-90 -06-88 -06-90	